## Abnormal WDF and WNR scattergrams from Sysmex XN-V in a dog
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## Specimen

EDTA whole blood and abdominal effusion

## Signalment

4-month-old intact male, Australian shepherd dog

## History

The dog was referred to the emergency unit at the veterinary teaching hospital of Toulouse, France, for the medical care of a parvovirus infection diagnosed by a positive SNAP test (SNAP Parvo, Idexx Laboratories, Westbrook, USA) in the context of vomiting and diarrhoea evolving for 2 days.

## Clinical findings

Clinical examination revealed pale mucous membranes and palpable fluid accumulation in the abdomen.

A CBC performed at the emergency unit with the ProCyte Dx (Idexx, Westbrook, USA)) (Table1) revealed a marked normocytic normochromic regenerative anemia, and leukocytosis with neutrophilia, monocytosis, and thrombocytopenia with a flag and an increased MPV. The thrombocytopenia was suspected to be true despite the observation of few platelet-fibrin clots on the blood smear.

An abdominal point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS) confirmed the presence of an abdominal effusion. The dog was transfused with compatible blood.

The next day, a complete abdominal ultrasound was performed by a specialist and revealed a large amount of abdominal effusion and a hyperechoic mass with ill-defined contours located between the liver and the stomach and consistent with a hematoma.
Blood and effusion were sampled and analyzed with the Sysmex XN-V (Sysmex, Kobe, Japan) (Figure 1; Tables 1 and 2) and smears were reviewed. Very few platelets with no clumps were observed in blood and effusion. Hemostasis panel was performed on STA Compact Max3 (Stago, Asnières-sur-Seine, France) and was unremarkable (Table 3).


Figure 1: Sysmex XN-V WBC differential (WDF) and white cell nucleated (WNR) scattergrams of EDTA-blood specimen from a healthy 3-year-old dog (A) and EDTA-blood (B) and EDTA-effusion (C) specimens from a 4-month-old Australian shepherd dog with Parvovirus infection.
Abbreviations: D, debris; E, eosinophils; FSC, forward scatter; L, lymphocytes; M, monocytes; N, neutrophils; nRBC, nucleated red blood cells; SFL, side fluorescence light; SSC, side scatter; WBC, white blood cells

Table 1: Hematological numerical results for EDTA-blood specimens with ProCyte Dx (before transfusion) and Sysmex XN-V (after transfusion) and EDTA-effusion specimen with Sysmex XN-V.

| ProCyte Dx | Sysmex XN-V | Sysmex XN-V |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blood specimen | Blood specimen | Effusion |


| Variable | Result | RI | Result | RI | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RBC (x1012/L) | 2.41 | 5.65-8.87 | 3.46 | 5.20-7.90 | 3.44 |
| HCT (\%) | 16.5 | 37.3-61.7 | 25.6 | 35.0-52.0 | 25.1 |
| HGB (g/dL) | 5.8 | 13.1-20.5 | 8.5 | 12.4-19.2 | 8.4 |
| MCV (fL) | 68.5 | 61.6-73.5 | 74.0 | 60.0-71.0 | 73.0 |
| MCH (pg) | 24.1 | 21.2-25.9 | 24.6 | 21.9-26.3 | 24.4 |
| MCHC (g/dL) | 35.2 | 32.0-37.9 | 33.2 | 34.4-38.1 | 33.5 |
| RDW (\%) | 14.9 | 13.6-21.7 | 12.1 | 13.2-19.1 | 12.0 |
| RET (\%) | 3.7 | - | 1.45 | - | 1.44 |
| Corrected RET (\%) | 1.4 | 0-1 | 0.83 | 0-1 | - |
| RET (x10\%/L) | 89.4 | 10.0-110.0 | 50.2 | 19.1-150.1 | 49.5 |
| WBC (x109/L) | 18.23 | 5.05-16.76 | $18.88{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5.60-20.40 | 17.03 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Neutrophils (x109/L) | 14.72 | 2.95-11.64 | 15.45 | 2.90-13.60 | 15.12 |
| Lymphocytes (x109/L) | 2.06 | 1.05-5.10 | 0.78 | 1.10-5.30 | 1.01 |
| Monocytes (x109/L) | 1.35 | 0.16-1.12 | 0.71 | 0.40-1.60 | 0.65 |
| Eosinophils (x109/L) | 0.09 | 0.06-1.23 | 1.44 | 0.10-1.50 | 0.13 |
| Basophils (x109/L) | 0.01 | 0.00-0.10 | 0.50 | Rare | 0.12 |
| PLT ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (x109/L) | 2* | 148-484 | 12* | 108-562 | 5 |
| MPV (fL) | 23.0 | 8.7-13.2 | 7.7* | - | 10.5 |

Bolded values are outside the reference interval (RI).
Abbreviations: HGB, hemoglobin; HCT, hematocrit; MCH, mean corpuscular hemoglobin; MCHC, mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration; MCV, mean cell volume; MPV, mean platelet volume; nRBCs, nucleated red blood cells; PLT, platelets; RBC, red blood cells; RET, reticulocytes; RDW, red cell distribution width; WBC, white blood cells; *, error flag.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Leukocyte count obtained with the XN-V analyzer WNR channel
b Platelet counts were obtained with the impedance channel with the ProCyte Dx and with the optical channel with the Sysmex XN-V

Table 2: Additional results for abdominal effusion and EDTA-whole blood

| Variable | Abdominal effusion | EDTA- whole blood |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Macroscopic appearance | Red, opaque | Normal |
| TNCC ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( $\times 10^{9} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | 16.69 | - |
| Total proteins ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (g/L) | 33 | - |
| Packed cell volume ${ }^{\text {c (L/L) }}$ | 25 | 25 |
| Manual cell differential ${ }^{\text {c }}$ (\%) |  |  |
| Neutrophils | 69 | 90 |
| Lymphocytes | 4 | 3 |
| Monocytes/Macrophages | 27 | 5 |
| Eosinophils | 0 | 2 |

Abbreviations: TNCC, Total nucleated cells
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Leukocyte count obtained with the XN-V analyzer WDF channel
b Obtained on supernatant with a refractometer
c Obtained by manual methods

Table 3: Hemostasis panel

| Variable | Result | Reference interval |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Antithrombin III (\%) | 111 | $102-191$ |
| FDP (mg/L) | $<5$ | $0-5$ |
| Fibrinogen (g/L) | 3.7 | $1.3-4.7$ |
| PT (s) | 8.0 | $7.3-9.9$ |
| aPTT (s) | 15.8 | $12.9-16.9$ |

Abbreviations: aPTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; FDP, Fibrin degradation product ; PT, prothrombin time

## Questions

1/ Give your interpretation and the most probable cause for the abdominal effusion.
2/ Concerning the CBC performed on Sysmex XN-V (Figure 1), what is the main anomaly in the scattergrams from the case compared to the ones of a healthy dog? What does it imply regarding numerical results?

3/ What could be the cause of the abnormal scattergrams and how would you investigate it?

